

Comments for Planning Application 18/02909/FULL1

Application Summary

Application Number: 18/02909/FULL1

Address: Trees Coniston Road Bromley BR1 4JB

Proposal: Demolition of existing dwelling and detached garage and erection of a three storey terrace of 5 no. dwellings (2 x 4 bedrooms and 3 x 3 bedrooms) with associated car parking, landscaping, cycle and refuse storage.

Case Officer: Russell Penn

Customer Details

Name: Mr Paul Osborne

Address: 210a Ravensbourne Avenue Beckenham

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Residents Association

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

- Objection

Comment: The Ravensbourne Valley Preservation Society (RVPS) objects to this proposed development on the following grounds:

1. The development proposal is to demolish an existing dwelling and garage and erect 5 no. 3 storey dwellings with associated car parking, landscaping, cycle and refuse storage. This proposal is considered to be unsympathetic to the local street scene. Additionally, the overbearing scale and size of the proposed development would have a detrimental impact on the amenity of occupiers of neighbouring buildings by creating noise and disturbance contrary to Policy BE1 of the Unitary Development Plan.
2. Many local residents have stated that the existing building may have historical architectural significance which should be considered in accordance with Policy BE10 of the Unitary Development Plan.
3. The development is sited within a Tree Preservation Order area with specific nominated trees and it is considered that the proposals do not adequately address the protection of these trees or the associated wildlife habitat contrary to Policy NE7 of the Unitary Development Plan.
4. Traffic using the unpaved roads surrounding the development site will create unacceptable levels of dust, noise and inconvenience and will cause undue deterioration to the road surfaces. Additionally, the increased number of residents will substantially increase the traffic flows. The proposal does not address either of these significant residential concerns contrary to Policy T13 of

the Unitary Development Plan.