LONDON BOROUGH OF BROMLEY

TOWN PLANNING RENEWAL AND RECREATION DEPARTMENT

DELEGATED DECISION on 1st September 2015

<u>14/04810/OUT</u>	4 Oaklands Road
	Bromley
<u>Claire Harris</u>	BR1 3SL

Description of Development

Demolition of existing buildings and erection of a 4 storey detached building comprising 11 flats (7x one bed and 4 x two bed) with landscaping and parking OUTLINE APPLICATION

Proposal

Proposal

- Outline permission is sought for the demolition of existing building and associated outbuildings and erection of a 4 storey detached building comprising 11 flats

Approval is sought for access and layout with appearance, landscaping and scale reserved

- 7 x one bedroom and 4 x two bedroom flats are proposed

- No affordable housing units are proposed

- The proposed building is three storey with fourth storey accommodation within the hipped roof

- The roof measures a maximum height of approximately 12.4 metres with the height lowering towards the western side and rear of the building to 11.7 metres

The front elevation is stepped back towards the eastern side

- On the eastern side the building steps in from the side boundary to allow for the access road

- The building incorporates a combination of bays, balconies and traditional window designs

- Private gardens are provided for the 2 one bedroom ground floor apartments to the front of the building

- A small patio is provided for the two bedroom apartment at the rear of the ground floor

- Private balconies/terraces are provided for all apartments at first, second and third floors

- A communal amenity area is proposed at the rear

- 10 car parking spaces are proposed at the rear of the site as well as 1 disabled space at the front

- The rear parking area is accessed via a new access drive accessed from Oaklands Road

- The driveway ranges in width from 4.8m at its widest to 3.1m at its narrowest

- Cycle parking is proposed on the eastern side of the building

- Refuse and recycling storage is proposed within the front curtilage of the site adjacent to the highway boundary.

The applicant has submitted the following documents and to support the application:

- Tree Survey Report (12/11/2014) - it concludes that while a small number of trees will be removed to enable the proposed development, the larger trees will be retained and a number of new trees planted. Through the specified tree protection measures it will be possible to minimise the impact of the proposed development on the retained trees.

- Transport Assessment (01/2015) - it concludes that the proposal accords well with both local and national policy; the level of accessibility by non-car borne modes is adequate for the type of development proposed; the level of traffic generated will be immaterial and the internal highways layout is suitable in terms of highways safety and efficiency.

The application is also accompanied by a Design and Access Statement in which the applicant submits the following summary points in support of the application:

- The site is previously developed and has the potential for increased use making best use of the land;

- Materials and detailing are fully compatible with those generally used in the area;

- Environmental improvements are proposed;

- Safe and cycle storage is included;

- Dwellings comply with the London Plan Housing Design guide space standards and will be built to Lifetime Homes standards;

- Dwellings will achieve level 4 or better of the Code for Sustainable Homes;

- Renewable energy sources in the form of solar and photo-voltaic panels will be incorporated and A rated efficiency appliances and fittings will be used.

Location

- The existing building is a substantial two/three storey Edwardian house with a single storey attached garage on the eastern side and detached garage adjacent to the eastern side

- The application building has been divided into 2 apartments (4/4a)

- there is a blanket Tree Preservation Order (TPO) which covers the entire site

- the site is not within a designate conservation area and there are no listed buildings adjoining the site however, it is noted that No.8 Oaklands Road is locally listed

to the west of the site is a large four storey flatted building

- to the east is a series of three storey low-level townhouses known as Garden Court

- the surrounding area is residential in character consisting of a mix of older detached dwellings either in use as single family homes or divided into separate apartments and more contemporary terraced houses and blocks of flats, as well as a three/four storey residential care/nursing home granted planning permission in 2001

- The buildings in this part of Oaklands Road are generally fairly well-separated from the highway with parking or landscaping to the front, however, the residential care home at No.5 is significantly closer to the highway boundary

- The existing building resides on a generous plot with a large rear garden however the surrounding gardens vary significantly in length and width

- there are a number of mature trees on and around the perimeter of the site

- the site is located less than 1km from and to the north-west of Bromley town centre

- the A21 is immediately to the east of Oaklands Road connecting with Bromley town centre to the south and Lewisham High Street to the north

- it is within an area with a low Public Transport Accessibility Level (PTAL) of 1b.

Consultations

Nearby owners/occupiers were notified of the application and representations were received which can be summarised as follows:

- o Existing house contributes to character of area and is of historic value
- o One of the apartments is still occupied
- o Rear building line dos not extend significantly beyond rear of adjacent property
- o Would like a reduction to canopy of tree overhanging the roof of adjacent property
- o Laburnum Tree missing from tree survey
- o Concerned about overlooking from rear balconies and noise pollution
- o Loose gravel surface would create noise
- o On-street parking already congested provision of another 11 properties will make worse
- o Traffic levels and unrestricted parking are already creating safety issues
- o Would prefer number of flats to be decreased

o Security risk to adjacent property - would like a security gate to front of new roadway

- o Over-intensive use of a small site
- o Oaklands Road is an already overcrowded area, another 11 flats would make it worse
- o Disappointed trees will be cut down
- o Internal layout does not provide proper area for eating
- o Flats on right side of building will have daylight reduced by tree T1
- o Proposed building together with 6 Oaklands would present a monolithic and dominating mass in the street scene
- o Out of character with area
- o Side spacing inadequate
- o Concerned about damage to and loss of trees
- o Cycle parking appears to be insecure
- o Plans do not show a lift overrun which will increase height of building.

Comments from Consultees

Highways - no objections, recommend conditions.

Environmental Health (Housing) - concerns over communal living space combined with kitchen area. Also concerns over bathroom being located off a bedroom so occupants and visitors will have to travel through the bedroom to use WC

Environmental Health (pollution) - no objections but conditions recommended re: impact on Air Quality Management Area and electric car charging points should be provided per 20% of parking spaces

Drainage - no objections, recommend SUDS condition

Designing Out Crime Officer - if pedestrian and vehicular gates are installed in front of the main entrance doors to control access to the communal gardens, parking courtyard and building, the application should be able to achieve Secured by Design accreditation in respect of design and layout. Secured by Design condition recommended.

Planning Considerations

The application falls to be determined in accordance with the following policies of the Unitary Development Plan (UDP):

BE1 Design of New Development BE7 Railings, Boundary Walls and Other Means of Enclosure H1 Housing Supply H2 and H3 Affordable Housing H7 Housing Density and Design H9 Side Space **IMP1** Planning Obligations NE7 Development and Trees T1 Transport Demand T2 Assessment of Transport Effects T3 Parking T7 Cyclists **T8 Other Road Users** T9 and T10 Public Transport T11 New Accesses **T12 Residential Roads** T15 Traffic Management T16 Traffic Management and Sensitive Environments T18 Road safety

Affordable Housing Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) Planning Obligations Supplementary Planning Document (SPD)

Supplementary Planning Guidance 1: General Design Principles Supplementary Planning Guidance 2: Residential Design Guidance

A consultation on draft Local Plan policies was undertaken early in 2014 and is a material consideration. The weight attached to the draft policies increases as the Local Plan process advances.

The most relevant draft Local Plan policies include:

- 5.1 Housing Supply
- 5.3 Housing Design
- 5.4 Provision of Affordable Housing
- 7.1 Parking
- 8.1 General Design of Development
- 8.7 Development and Trees
- 11.1 Delivery and implementation of the Local Plan

In strategic terms, the application falls to be determined in accordance with the following policies of the London Plan (March 2015):

- 3.3 Increasing housing supply
- 3.4 Optimising housing potential
- 3.5 Quality and design of housing developments
- 3.6 Children and young people's play and informal recreation
- 3.7 Large residential developments
- 3.8 Housing choice

- 3.9 Mixed and balanced communities
- 3.10 Definition of affordable housing
- 3.11 Affordable housing targets

3.12 Negotiating affordable housing on individual private residential and mixed use schemes

- 3.13 Affordable housing thresholds
- 5.2 Minimising carbon dioxide emissions
- 5.3 Sustainable design and construction
- 5.7 Renewable energy
- 5.9 Overheating and cooling
- 5.10 Urban greening
- 5.11 Green Roofs and Development Site Environs
- 5.12 Flood risk assessment
- 5.13 Sustainable Drainage
- 5.14 Water quality and wastewater infrastructure
- 5.15 Water use and supplies
- 6.3 Assessing effects of development on transport capacity
- 6.9 Cycling
- 6.10 Walking
- 6.13 Parking
- 7.1 Lifetime neighbourhoods
- 7.2 An inclusive environment
- 7.3 Designing out crime
- 7.4 Local character
- 7.5 Public Realm
- 7.6 Architecture
- 7.13 Safety, security and resilience to emergency
- 7.14 Improving Air Quality
- 7.21 Trees and woodlands
- 8.2 Planning obligations
- 8.3 Community infrastructure levy

Mayor's SPG: "Housing" (2012)

Mayor's SPG: "Accessible London: Achieving an Inclusive Environment" (2014) Mayor's SPG: "Providing for Children and Young People's Play and Informal Recreation" (2012)

On 11 May 2015 the Mayor of London published for six weeks public consultation two sets of Minor Alterations to the London Plan - on Housing Standards and on Parking Standards. Where London Plan policies are quoted the changes in the MALP are shown in italics. The most relevant changes to policies include:

- 3.5 Quality and Design of Housing Development
- 3.8 Housing Choice
- 5.3 Sustainable Design and Construction
- 6.13 Parking

Relevant policies and guidance in the form of the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) (2012) and National Planning Practice Guidance (NPPG) must also be taken into account. The most relevant paragraphs of the NPPF include:

- 14: achieving sustainable development
- 17: principles of planning
- 47-50: housing supply

56 to 66: design of development

National Planning Policy Guidance (NPPG)

Planning History

There is an application relating to the removal and reduction of various trees at the site, however there is no other recent relevant planning history relating to the site

Conclusions

The main issues to be considered in respect of the current outline proposal are

- o Acceptability in principle of the re-development of the site for flats
- o Density
- o Acceptability in terms of layout and indicative scale
- o Acceptability of the proposed access
- o Housing Issues
- o Impact on neighbouring amenity in terms of outlook, daylight, sunlight and privacy
- o Highways impacts
- o Impact on trees
- o Sustainability and site wide Energy Requirements
- o Planning Obligations

Principal of Development

The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) states that housing applications should be considered in the context of the presumption in favour of sustainable development. New development should reflect the identity of local surroundings and add to the overall quality of an area, whilst not discouraging appropriate innovation. The NPPF also encourages the effective use of land and states developments should optimise the potential of the site to accommodate development.

In accordance with London Plan policy 3.5, the design of all new housing developments should enhance the quality of local places, taking into account, amongst other things local character and land use mix. Oaklands Road and the surrounding area is characterised by a combination of single dwellings, residential conversions and purpose-built flats. As such, the principle of the re-development of the site for a flatted development would appear to be acceptable.

Density

Development should comply with the density ranges set out in table 4.2 of the UDP and table 3.2 of the London Plan and in the interests of creating mixed and balanced communities development should provide a mix of housing types and sizes.

Policy 3.4 in the London Plan seeks to ensure that development proposals achieve the optimum housing density compatible with local context, the design principles in Chapter 7 and with public transport capacity. Table 3.2 (Sustainable residential quality) identifies appropriate residential density ranges related to a site's setting (assessed in terms of its location, existing building form and massing) and public transport accessibility (PTAL). This site is considered to be in an 'urban' setting and has a low

PTAL rating of 1b giving an indicative density range of 50-95 units / 150-250 habitable rooms per hectare (dependent on the unit size mix). The London Plan states that residential density figures should be based on net residential area, which includes internal roads and ancillary open spaces.

UDP Policy H7 also includes a density/location matrix which supports a density of 50-80 units / 200-250 habitable rooms per hectare for locations such as this provided the site is well designed, providing a high quality living environment for future occupier's whist respecting the spatial characteristics of the surrounding area.

The residential density of the development would equate to 173 habitable rooms per hectare and 73 units per hectare which is within the density guidelines set out in both the London Plan and the UDP.

Layout and Indicative Scale

Policies H7 and BE1 of the UDP require new developments to complement the scale, form, layout and materials of adjacent dwellings. Development should not detract from the existing street scene and the space about buildings should provide opportunities to create attractive settings. While the current proposal is in outline form with scale and design reserved, it is necessary to assess the proposed layout of the development as well as the height parameters as indicated in the application.

The proposed flatted development would retain a similar building line to that of the existing building however would be stepped back on the eastern side allowing for more landscaping to the front of the site. Generous separation would be retained between the eastern side of the proposed building and the flank boundary of the site and a minimum side space of approximately 1.7m would be retained to the western flank boundary. While the development would project substantially further back than the existing building, the layout proposed would provide adequate separation to neighbouring properties and there would be enough space retained about the building to ensure that the development would not appear cramped. Furthermore, a high quality scheme of landscaping could be provided.

The rear parking area would be accessed via a new driveway from positioned along the eastern side of the site which is laid out in an informal configuration which takes into account the positions of existing mature trees, the impact on which will be addressed later on. While the impact on neighbouring amenities is a material consideration that needs to be carefully considered, there are examples of other rear parking areas at nearby properties, including at Charmaine Court to the north of the application site, and, as such, the layout proposed is, in principle, considered acceptable.

It will be necessary for all units to be provided with cycle, refuse and recycling storage facilities that are secure, covered and well located in relation to the dwelling. There is adequate space within the site for such facilities to be provided and appropriate conditions are recommended should permission be granted.

The drawings submitted indicate the proposed building to be three storeys in height with accommodation within the roof. It is considered that this would accord with the scale and height of surrounding development including the adjacent No.6 (Oaklands Court) and the residential care home at No.5. Furthermore, the proposed hipped roof design and staggered ridge height would reflect the character of nearby Edwardian properties and, overall, the development would not appear unduly dominant within the street scene.

Access

The proposed vehicular access would be in a similar position to the existing access to the detached garage but would be increased in width to allow two vehicles to pass each other within the site as well as being wide enough for vehicles, pedestrians and cyclists to pass safely. The proposed access is considered acceptable from a highways safety perspective.

Pedestrian access is proposed via a separate access at the front of the site leading to the side entrance to the building located on the eastern elevation. The applicant states that this enables convenient access from the rear amenity area, car park and the road frontage and is considered acceptable.

Housing Issues

Unit Size Mix

London Plan policy requires new housing development to offer a range of housing choices in terms of the mix of housing sizes and types taking into account the housing requirements of different groups. Policies within the Bromley UDP do not set a prescriptive breakdown in terms of unit sizes. Each application should be assessed on its merits in this respect. The development proposes a scheme that is all market housing comprising 7 x one bedroom and 4 x two bedroom flats. The mix of the units is considered appropriate given the scale of the development and its proximity to Bromley town centre and the A21.

A two bedroom/three person wheelchair unit is proposed at ground floor meeting the requirements of London Plan Policy 3.8 which requires 10% of housing units to be designed to be wheelchair accessible and all housing units to be built to Lifetime Homes standards. Furthermore, the applicant states in the submitted Design and Access Statement that that all units reflect Lifetime Homes standards.

Tenure

The development is considered liable for the provision of affordable housing on site as set out in the Policy H2 of the UDP. Policy H2 requires 35% affordable housing (on a habitable room basis) to be provided. A lower provision of affordable housing can only be accepted where it is demonstrated that the viability of the scheme cannot support policy compliant provision. In this case the development comprises 11 units and triggers the need for at least 9 of the habitable rooms to be provided as affordable housing.

The applicant has submitted a Financial Viability Appraisal and affordable housing report that advises that the development cannot viably provide any affordable housing on site. The assessment has been independently reviewed by an expert consultant appointed by the Council.

The advice received by the Council from the independent consultant indicates a significant difference of opinion regarding the viability information submitted by the applicant. In particular there is disagreement regarding the build costs and land value and insufficient evidence to justify the scheme's value. On this basis it is considered that the applicant has not adequately demonstrated that the development is unable to support affordable housing provision.

Standard of Residential Accommodation

The Mayor's Housing SPG sets out guidance in respect of the standard required for all new residential accommodation to supplement London Plan policies. Table 3.3 of the London Plan and Standard 4.1.1 of the SPG sets out minimum space standards for new development. The unit sizes proposed are larger than the minimum dwelling space standards as set out in the London Plan.

All units must benefit from private amenity space which must comply with the minimum space requirements taking into account the number of occupants set out in the Mayor's Housing SPG. Dwellings on upper floors should all have access to a terrace, roof garden, winter garden, courtyard garden or balcony. The proposed units all have access to private balconies/terraces or gardens which meet the minimum space standards and a communal amenity area is also proposed to the rear.

Based on the expected child occupancy of the development, the London Plan requires a minimum 4.8 square metres of communal play space for the development which the rear amenity area far exceeds. The proposal would therefore provide adequate amenity space for occupiers of the proposed flats.

Impact on Neighbouring Amenities

Policy BE1 of the UDP seeks to protect existing residential occupiers from inappropriate development. Issues to consider are the impact of a development proposal upon neighbouring properties by way of overshadowing, loss of light, overbearing impact, overlooking, loss of privacy and general noise and disturbance.

While the proposed development would project further back than the existing building, it would be well-separated from the boundary with the adjacent two storey properties to the east, Garden Court, by around 8.5m at the narrowest point widening to around 14m towards the rear of the site. Concerns have been raised by adjacent occupiers regarding overlooking from the proposed balconies at the rear, however, given the substantial separation along with the existing mature tree screening along the eastern boundary there is unlikely to be any significant opportunities for overlooking into Garden Court, nor would the development have a significant visual impact from or result in significant overshadowing to Garden Court.

With regard to the impact on adjacent occupiers at No.6 Oaklands Road, to the west, balconies/terraces are proposed in close proximity to the boundary with this site, as such, a form of screen on the western side of the balconies sited at the front of the building is considered necessary in order to minimise overlooking to neighbouring windows. Should permission be granted, a condition is recommended accordingly. Furthermore, the windows situated in the eastern flank wall at No.6 Oaklands Road (facing the application site) appear to be obscure glass so, overall, no undue loss of privacy would occur for occupiers of this adjacent building. The proposed development would share a similar rear building line to that of No.6 and given its size and orientation is unlikely to result in any significant overshadowing to No.6.

While the proposed car parking area ad side access would increase the level of noise and activity toward the rear of the site and adjacent to neighbouring rear gardens (in particular, that of Garden court), it is noted that similar arrangements exist in adjoining sites, including Charmaine Court to the north, and, given the relatively small nature of the development with only 10 car parking spaces proposed at the rear, it is unlikely to result in significant levels of noise and disturbance to adjacent occupiers.

Overall, the impact of the development on the amenities of occupiers of nearby buildings is therefore considered acceptable.

Highways Impacts

The NPPF recognises that transport policies have an important role to play in facilitating sustainable development but also in contributing to wider sustainability and health objectives. All developments that generate significant amounts of movement should be supported by a Transport Statement or Transport Assessment. Plans and decisions should take account of whether the opportunities for sustainable transport modes have been taken up depending on the nature and location of the site, safe and suitable access to the site can be achieved for all people. It should be demonstrated that improvements can be undertaken within the transport network that cost effectively limit the significant impacts of the development. The NPPF clearly states that development should only be prevented or refused on transport grounds where the residual cumulative impacts of development are severe.

London Plan and UDP Policies encourage sustainable transport modes whilst recognising the need for appropriate parking provision. Parking should be in accordance with London Plan policy 6.13 and the maximum standards set out in Table 6.2. Eleven car parking spaces are to be provided, including 1 disable space which accords with London Plan standards. Local residents have raised concerns over additional on-street parking in Oaklands Road as a result of the development, however, the level of parking proposed is, in this instance, considered acceptable in that it would not lead to a significant increase in on-street parking.

The Transport Assessment accompanying the application estimates that the development will generate a net total of 10 vehicular movements occurring at the site access during the network peak hours. This is considered immaterial when assessed in isolation or against the existing background traffic flows on Oaklands Road and the A21 and, overall, the development would not impact on road safety or existing parking conditions in the local area to a significant degree.

Trees

The application site is subject to a blanket TPO, and any works carried out should therefore ensure their appropriate management and maintenance in a healthy condition. A tree protection plan and arboricultural report has been received which is shown to retain the majority of trees on and adjoining the site. Nine individual trees will be removed but this is considered unlikely to impact upon the wider streetscape. Subject to the tree protection measures proposed in the report, the development is unlikely to have severely detrimental impact on protected trees. Appropriate conditions are recommended to ensure the future health and protection of retained trees.

Sustainability and Site Wide Energy Requirements

All new development should address climate change and reduce carbon emissions. London Plan Policies 5.1 - 5.7 refer to energy requirements to achieve climate change mitigation including reduction in carbon emissions and renewable energy. Major developments are expected to prepare an energy strategy which shows how the need for energy is to be minimised, and how it will be supplied to the particular development proposed. In accordance with the energy hierarchy in policy 5.2 of the London Plan, developments should provide a reduction in expected carbon dioxide (CO^2) emissions through the use of on-site renewable energy generation, where feasible. The strategy shall include measures to allow the development to achieve a reduction in CO^2 emissions of 35% above that required by the 2013 Building Regulations. The development should also aim to achieve a reduction in CO^2 emissions of at least 20% from on-site renewable energy generation, where feasible.

Very limited information has been received in respect of sustainability and renewable energy in relation to the development proposal and no energy assessment has been submitted setting out the applicant's commitments in relation to reduction in carbon emissions. While the applicant states that various energy efficient measures will be incorporated into the design and construction, such as high standards of insulation and low energy glazing to windows, and that the contribution to renewable energy will be achieved through solar sources, the information submitted is considered insufficient to demonstrate that the development can achieve the required CO² reductions as set out in Chapter 5 of the London Plan.

Policy 5.13 of the London Plan requires development to utilise Sustainable Urban Drainage System (SUDS), unless there are practical reasons for not doing so though supporting text to the policy also recognises the contribution 'green' roofs can make to SUDS. The hierarchy within that policy is for a preference for developments to store water for later use.

This site appears to be suitable for an assessment to be made of its potential for a SUDS to be developed for the disposal of surface water and a condition is recommended accordingly.

The London Plan at Policy 5.11also requires major development proposals to be designed to include roof, wall and site planting, especially green roofs and walls where feasible, which, among other things, supports sustainable urban drainage. No information has been submitted in respect of provision of green roofs or walls however the design of the building incudes flat roofed areas which have the potential to support a green roof. A condition is therefore recommended should permission be granted for details of a suitable green roof to be submitted.

Planning Obligations

The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) states that in dealing with planning applications, local planning authorities should consider whether otherwise unacceptable development could be made acceptable through the use of conditions or planning obligations. Planning obligations should only be used where it is not possible to address unacceptable impacts through a planning condition. It further states that where obligations are being sought or revised, local planning authorities should take account of changes in market conditions over time and, wherever appropriate, be sufficiently flexible to prevent planned development being stalled. The NPPF also sets out that planning obligations should only be secured when they meet the following three tests:

- (a) Necessary to make the development acceptable
- (b) Directly related to the development; and
- (c) Fairly and reasonably related in scale and kind to the development.

Paragraph 122 of the Community Infrastructure Levy Regulations (April 2010) puts the above three tests on a statutory basis, making it illegal to secure a planning obligation unless it meets the three tests.

From April 2015 it is necessary for pooled contributions to take account of pooling regulations. In this instance the Council seek to secure the provision of affordable housing in compliance with Policy H2, health and education contributions.

Based on the proposed tenure of 11 market flats (7 x 1 bed, 4 x 2 bed), the calculations for health and education contributions are as follows:

Health: £10,494 Education: £14,293.05.

The Financial Viability Appraisal which the applicant submitted as part of the application concludes that the development cannot viably provide any affordable housing on site and no allowance is made for health and education contributions. The proposal is therefore not in compliance with the Council's policies regarding affordable housing and planning obligations.

Summary

The assessment above considers the acceptability of the proposal in respect of layout and access with all other matters reserved. It is also necessary to consider the quality and type of housing proposed as well as the wider impacts of the development on local residents, highways, trees and the contribution the development makes towards the mitigation of climate change.

Overall, the layout proposed provides adequate separation between the proposed building and existing neighbouring development, allowing good opportunities for soft and hard landscaping and retaining the majority of existing mature trees on and around the site.

It is clear that there will be an impact on adjacent properties as a result of this proposal and due consideration has been given to the comments made by residents during the consultation process. However, based on the above it is considered that the development in the manner proposed is acceptable in that it would not have an unduly harmful impact on the outlook or amenities of local residents, nor would the parking proposals lead to significant road safety issues or undue noise and disturbance to occupiers of nearby dwellings.

However, in this instance the applicant has failed to adequately demonstrate that the development is unable to support affordable housing provision and, as such, would not meet the housing needs of the Borough. Furthermore, the proposal would be unable to deliver any contributions towards health and education which are considered necessary to mitigate the impacts of the development on existing infrastructure.

In addition, very limited information has been received in respect of sustainability and the applicant does not sufficiently demonstrate that the development can achieve the required CO² reductions to contribute towards the mitigation of climate change as set out in Chapter 5 of the London Plan.

The application site was visited by the case officer and the aims and objectives of the above policies, national and regional planning guidance, all other material planning considerations including any objections, other representations and relevant planning history on the site were taken into account in the assessment of the proposal.

Having had regard to the above it was considered that the application should be refused for the reasons set out above.

Decision

Application Refused

For conditions or grounds of refusal please refer to the Decision Notice